

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY	DOCKET NO.
02/1	14,69/ (1713798 PUWERS	,	ľΥÍ	1562-PA
				·	

QM31/1228

FRANK D GILLIAM SUITE 200 4565 RUFFNER STREET SAN DIEGO CA 92111

EXA	MINER
L	EWIS,R
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3.	732
OATE MAILED:	12/28/9

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents



UNITED ST... L'S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY, DOCKET NO.
	<u></u>
	EXAMINER
•	
	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
•	2
	DATE MAILED:
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	
OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecut accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	tion as to the merits is closed in
A shortened statutery, posied for response to this action is not to avaira	,
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within	month(s), or thirty days,
the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obta	ained under the provisions of 37 CFR
1.136(a).	
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) 1 — 9	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s) 1 - 9	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claim(s)are	subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	ſ
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	rosed
	ed to by the Examiner.
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
	ava basa
	ave been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	 ·
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	
_	
Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	

-- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES--

Application/Control Number: 09/114,697

Art Unit: 3732

Rejections based on 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 2 and 3, insufficient structure has been set forth for the "housing" to support the "normal" and "parallel" limitations.

In claim 4, insufficient structure has been set forth to support the "removably attached" limitation.

Rejections Based on Prior Art

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCarty, Jr. (5,374,237).

Application/Control Number: 09/114,697

Art Unit: 3732

In Figure 6, McCarty, Jr. discloses a mouth vibrating device that appears to meet all the

limitations of independent claim 1, with the possible exception of those relating to the specifically

claimed thickness of the mouthpiece. To have merely constructed the McCarty, Jr. mouthpiece to

the specific dimensions claimed would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a

matter of routine design as would be the specific dimensions set forth in dependent claim 8.

Prior Art

Purdy (1,953,088), Cerveris (3,098,298), Schneider (3,115,139), Kennedy (4,011,616),

Kurz (4,123,844), Takemoto et al (4,162,576), Kurz (4,348,178), McCarty, Jr. (5,467,785),

Falgout (5,551,952), Guarini, Jr. et al (5,683,421) and Smith et al (5,711,759) are made of

record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ralph Lewis at

telephone number (703) 308-0770. Fax (703) 305-3590.

R.Lewis

December 18, 1998

Page 3